



7th Framework Programme

FP7-SEC-2012.4.3-1

**Next Generation Damage and Post-Crisis Needs Assessment Tool for
Reconstruction and Recovery Planning
Capability Project**

Report on the analyses of component testing and the algorithms correlating tag position before and after blast event

Deliverable No.	D3.1		
Workpackage No.	WP3	Workpackage Title	Damage, Loss and Needs Assessment
Author(s)	Håkan Hansson, Anneli Ehlerding, Hamid Rabia, Niklas Johansson		
Status	Consortium reviewed		
Version No.	V 1.00		
File Name	"RECONASS_D3.1_Report_on_the_analyses_of_component_testing_and_the_algorithms_correlating_tag_position_before_and_after_blast_event_v1.00"		
Delivery Date	28 09, 2015		
Project First Start and Duration	Dec. 1, 2013; 42 months		



DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE

Title	Report on the analyses of component testing and the algorithms correlating tag position before and after blast event	
Authors	Name	Partner
	Håkan Hansson	FOI
	Anneli Ehlerding	FOI
	Hamid Rabia	FOI
	Niklas Johansson	FOI
Contributors	Name	Partner
Peer Reviewers	Name	Partner
	Dimitris Bairaktaris	DBA
	Corrado Sanna	TECNIC
Format	Text-MS Word	
Language	en-UK	
Work Package	WP3	
Deliverable Number	D3.1	
Due Date of Delivery	30/09/2015	
Actual Date of Delivery	28/09/2015	
Dissemination Level	PP	
Rights	RECONASS Consortium	
Audience	<input type="checkbox"/> public <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> restricted <input type="checkbox"/> internal	
Revision	(none)	
Edited by		
Status	<input type="checkbox"/> draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consortium reviewed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WP leader accepted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project coordinator accepted	

REVISION LOG

Version	Date	Reason	Name and Company
V 0.01	04/09/2015	First draft	Håkan Hansson (FOI)
V 0.02	24/09/2015	Consortium reviewed, and FOI internally reviewed	Håkan Hansson (FOI), Dimitris Bairaktaris (DBA), Corrado Sanna (TECNIC)
V 1.00	28/09/2015	Document finalisation	Evangelos Sdongos (ICCS), Stephanos Camarinopoulos (RISA)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE	2
REVISION LOG	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
LIST OF FIGURES	6
LIST OF TABLES	8
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	9
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	10
1 INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 GENERAL PROJECT OVERVIEW	11
1.2 FE ANALYSIS OVERVIEW.....	11
1.3 REPORT OVERVIEW.....	11
2 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL SETUP	13
2.1 AIR BLAST ANALYSIS MODEL SETUP.....	13
2.1.1 Air blast analyse of high explosive modelling for slab tests.....	14
2.1.2 Air blast analysis of high explosive modelling for multi-node structure tests	15
2.2 STRUCTURAL RESPONSE ANALYSIS MODEL SETUP.....	16
2.2.1 Structural response analysis for two span concrete slabs.....	16
2.2.2 Structural response analysis for multi-node structure	18
3 RESULT	20
3.1 AIR BLAST AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSES FOR TWO SPAN SLABS	20
3.1.1 Air blast pressure	20
3.1.2 Structural deformation	26
3.2 AIR BLAST AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSES FOR MULTI-NODE STRUCTURE.....	29
3.2.1 Air blast pressure	29
3.2.2 Structural deformation.....	35
4 DISCUSSION	41
4.1 AIR BLAST ANALYSES	41
4.2 STRUCTURAL RESPONSE.....	41

4.3 METHODOLOGY 42

5 CALCULATION OF DISPLACEMENT VECTORS..... 43

6 CONCLUSIONS 48

REFERENCES 49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Layout of slab positioning on concrete supports and charge arrangement.....	14
Figure 2.2	Still images from the high speed videos (Berglund et al., 2015).	14
Figure 2.3	Placement of the 8.4 kg RDX/TNT charge at the distance of 4.5 m from the multi-node concrete frame, and a video frame from a high speed video recording taken during this test (Berglund et al., 2015).....	15
Figure 2.4	The 3D air blast model with reflecting surfaces shown (a), and half symmetry model with outflow boundaries shown (b).	16
Figure 2.5	Slab fixed to concrete supports prior to test (Berglund et al., 2015).	16
Figure 2.6	Layout of the slabs with reinforcement location (Berglund et al., 2015).....	17
Figure 2.7	The half symmetry solid model of concrete slab (a), and the half symmetry simplified mesh for the reinforcement (b).....	18
Figure 2.8	Layout of the frames with reinforcement location (Berglund et al., 2015).	18
Figure 2.9	The front side of the concrete frame structure, with its three pressure gauges P1 to P3 are shown (Berglund et al., 2015).	19
Figure 2.10	Finite element model for concrete frame, with solid elements for the concrete and beam elements for the reinforcement bars is shown.	19
Figure 3.1	Calculated reflected pressure and impulse density approximately at P1 (red) and P2 (blue) locations for 2.0 kg HE charge. Location according to Figure 2.6.....	21
Figure 3.2	Measured reflected pressure and impulse density at the centre points between the supports. Slab No. 1 with 2.0 kg HE (Berglund et al., 2015). Location according to Figure 2.6.....	21
Figure 3.3	Calculated reflected pressure (a) and impulse density (b) approximately at P1 (red) and P2 (blue) locations for 3.6 kg HE charge. Location according to Figure 2.6.....	21
Figure 3.4	Measured reflected pressure and impulse density at the centre points between the supports. Slab No. 2 with 3.6 kg HE (Berglund et al., 2015).....	22
Figure 3.5	Measured reflected pressure at the centre points between the supports. Slab No. 4 with 3.6 kg HE (Berglund et al., 2015).	22
Figure 3.6	Calculated reflected pressure and impulse density approximately at P1 (red) and P2 (blue) locations for 4.8 kg HE charge.	22
Figure 3.7	Measured reflected pressure at the centre points between the supports. Slab No. 3 with 4.8 kg HE (Berglund et al., 2015).	23
Figure 3.8	Calculated reflected pressure at varying horizontal distance from the 2.0 kg charge.	23
Figure 3.9	Calculated reflected pressure at varying horizontal distance from the 3.6 kg charge.	23
Figure 3.10	Calculated reflected pressure at varying horizontal distance from the 4.8 kg charge.	24
Figure 3.11	Shock wave propagation shown from the 3.6 kg cylindrical HE charge at 2.5 m height. Plotted variable is absolute pressure in kPa, at 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7 ms.....	25
Figure 3.12	Stress-strain relationship for reinforcement.	26
Figure 3.13	Calculated deflection for slab No. 1 with 2.0 kg HE charge.	26
Figure 3.14	Calculated deflection for slab No. 2 with 3.6 kg HE charge.	27
Figure 3.15	Calculated deflection for slab No. 3 with 4.8 kg HE charge.	27
Figure 3.16	Damage plot from FE analysis of slab No. 1 with 2.0 kg HE charge.....	28
Figure 3.17	Damage plot from FE analysis of slabs No. 2 and 4 with 3.6 kg HE charge.	28
Figure 3.18	Damage plot from FE analysis of slab No. 3 with 4.8 kg HE charge.....	28
Figure 3.19	Axial stresses in reinforcement from FE analysis of No. 3 with 4.8 kg HE charge. Scale in GPa... ..	28
Figure 3.20	Axial strain in reinforcement from FE analysis of No. 3 with 4.8 kg HE charge.....	28
Figure 3.21	Post-test photo of the reinforced concrete slabs, a) slab No. 1, 2.0 kg HE, b-c) slabs No. 2 and 4, 3.6 kg HE, d) slab No. 3, 4.8 kg HE (Berglund et al., 2015).....	29
Figure 3.22	Pressure distribution acting on the test object 3.7, 3.9, 4.1, 4.3, 4.5 and 4.7 ms after detonation with the charge placed 4.5 m from the front wall. Plotted variable is absolute pressure in kPa.	30

Figure 3.23	Pressure distribution acting on the test object 2.3, 2.5, 2.7, 2.9, 3.1 and 3.3 ms after detonation with the charge placed 3.5 m from the front wall. Plotted variable is absolute pressure in kPa.	31
Figure 3.24	Pressure distributions from a ground surface air blast at the distance 3.5 m from the front wall at 2.8, 3.0, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.8 ms after detonation. Plotted variable is absolute pressure in kPa.	32
Figure 3.25	Pressure distributions from a ground surface air blast 3.5 m from the wall at 2.8 and 3.0 ms after detonation (a), and pressure distributions for the charge at 0.75 m height and 4.5 m from the wall at 2.3 and 2.5 ms after detonation (b). Plotted variable is absolute pressure in kPa.	33
Figure 3.26	Calculated reflected pressure (a) and impulse density (b) at the centre of the front wall of test frame for different horizontal distances to the centre of RDX/TNT charge.	34
Figure 3.27	Measured reflected pressure at the centre of the front wall of test frame for test frame No. 1 with charge at 4.5 m from front wall (Berglund et al., 2015).	35
Figure 3.28	Measured reflected pressure at the centre of the front wall of test frame for test frame No. 2 with charge at 3.5 m from front wall (Berglund et al., 2015).	35
Figure 3.29	Deformation plot from FE analysis of frame No. 1 with the distance 4.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge, mid points at front and back walls.	36
Figure 3.30	Deformation from FE analysis of frame No. 2 with the distance 3.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge, mid points at front and back walls.	36
Figure 3.31	Damage plots from FE analysis of frame No. 1 with the distance 4.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge.	37
Figure 3.32	Post-test photo of multi-node concrete structure No. 1.	37
Figure 3.33	Axial stresses in reinforcement from FE analysis of frame No. 1 with the distance 4.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge. Scale in GPa.	38
Figure 3.34	Axial strain reinforcement from FE analysis of frame No. 1 with the distance 4.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge. The close up to the right show lower part of the front wall.	38
Figure 3.35	Damage plot from FE analysis of frame No. 2 with the distance 3.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge.	39
Figure 3.36	Post-test photo of multi-node concrete structure No. 2.	39
Figure 3.37	Axial stresses in reinforcement from FE analysis of frame No. 2 with the distance 3.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge. Scale in GPa.	40
Figure 3.38	Axial strain in reinforcement from FE analysis of frame No. 2 with the distance 3.5 m from the RDX/TNT charge. The close up to the right show lower part of the front wall.	40
Figure 5.1	Web service request message structure diagram.	44
Figure 5.2	Web service response message structure diagram.	45
Figure 5.3	GUI for monitoring web service communication.	45
Figure 5.4	Web service request example message.	46
Figure 5.5	Web service response example message.	47

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Material parameters for the JWL high explosive equation of state.....	13
Table 3.1	Measured pressure and impulse density in centre of the slabs free spans (Berglund et al., 2015). 20	
Table 3.2	Calculated deformation in the centre of the spans of the slabs.....	27
Table 3.3	Deformation in the centre of the spans of the slabs (Berglund et al., 2015).	27
Table 3.4	Maximum pressure and impulse density at the centre of the frames for gauge location P2.	33
Table 3.5	Deformation of the midpoint on the front and back wall.	37

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION
2D	Two-dimensional
3D	Three-dimensional
CompB	Composition B
C-J	Chapman-Jouguet
CSCM	Continuous surface cap model
FE	Finite element
FEA	Finite element analysis
FSI	Fluid structure interaction
HE	High explosive
I	Impulse density
JWL	Jones-Wilkins-Lee
N/A	Not applicable
P	Pressure
PCCDN	Post crisis needs assessment tool in regards to construction damage and related needs
PETN	Pentaerythritol tetranitrate
RDX	Research department formula X (i.e. Cyclotrimetylenetrinitramine)
SOAP	Simple object access protocol
TNT	Trinitrotoluene

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document is the first deliverable 'D3.1' of work package 3 in the RECONASS project, and it contains a description of the simulations performed to estimate blast impact on two different structures, as well as, a comparison of these results with experimental results obtained within RECONASS. The purpose of this work is to validate the simulation methodology, and also draw conclusions to be better prepared for the full scale final test of the RECONASS system.

In the work presented here, non-linear finite element (FE) simulations have been performed to analyse the response of the tested single members and a multi-node structure subjected to air blast. These were performed to determine the blast loads and the structural displacements prior to the model scale component testing. The FE simulations predicted the response of the components used in the model scale test with a good agreement, both regarding deformations and failure modes.

Simulations of the blasting of high explosives have been performed to predict the blast load on two types of structures. Structural analyses were then performed to predict the response of the reinforced concrete components to these blast loadings, for the instrumentation and setup of model scale tests.

The FE analyses have been verified by comparison with the obtained results from the model scale air blast high explosive testing of the reinforced concrete components. The FE analyses predicted the failure modes for the concrete structures. However, the magnitudes of the displacements were overestimated for the analyses of the slabs with three supports, and underestimated the deformations for reinforced concrete frame. Overall best performance was obtained for the FE analyses of the reinforced concrete frame, with a good agreement between the results from the component tests and from the FE analyses.

The results from the model scale reinforced concrete component testing, and the presented FE analyses, provide data for a reliable design of the setup for the full scale structural testing of the planned as the final test of the RECONASS system.

As an additional and separate task in this report, the development of algorithms correlating tag position before and after blast event have also been performed.